UN environment programme

Briefing Paper No. 1:
Reimagining African Urban Contexts with Water: From China's Sponge Cities to Dutch Rivers to Australian Design

November 2025

Urban regions are reimagining their relationship with water, moving from concrete defenses to ecological partnership. From China's Sponge Cities to the Netherlands' Room for the River and Australia's Water Sensitive Urban Design, a new paradigm has emerged: water-responsive urbanism that deploys Nature-based Solutions (NbS) alongside green-grey infrastructure specifically for water management. This shift, from flood defence to hydrological coexistence, from single-purpose engineering to multi-benefit systems, delivers resilience, biodiversity, cooling and livelihoods. While NbS address diverse urban challenges from heat to biodiversity, this framework focuses their application on urban water systems.

This framework maps these global examples to extract lessons of governance, financing and social inclusion relevant for African urban contexts. The core proposition: water-responsive urbanism offers not blueprints to copy but philosophies and principles to adapt; governance determines success more than technology; and successful implementation deploys NbS and hybrid infrastructure as context-specific tools rather than universal solutions. While sponge cities provide comprehensive frameworks with quantitative targets (typically 60-80% stormwater retention) for managing pluvial and fluvial flooding through hybrid green-blue-gray infrastructure networks, NbS offer both core interventions within these frameworks and standalone tools for broader ecosystem services, a flexible relationship that enables context-specific adaptation.

Content

1 China: Sponge Cities

Rapid urbanization in China outpaced infrastructure investment, leaving cities vulnerable to climate-induced flooding. The 2012 Beijing floods alone caused US$1.6 billion in damage and 79 deaths.[1] In response President Xi Jinping launched the Sponge City Program (SCP) in 2014, investing US$28 billion across 30 pilot cities to to implement water-responsive urbanism at scale, guided by Nature-based Solutions (NbS).[2] The SCP addresses both pluvial flooding from intense rainfall overwhelming drainage systems and fluvial flooding from river overflow. This approach is based on three interconnected processes: the absorption, storage and slow release of accumulated rainwater to control pluvial runoff. Urban green infrastructure applies these processes to manage pluvial runoff at source, while restored wetlands and floodplains provide the same functions at catchment scale for fluvial flood waters.

> The essence of a sponge city is an urban environment designed to absorb, store, and release water in harmony with natural cycles.

The key principles underlying SCP include:[3]

- Maintaining landscape continuity during urban expansion (pluvial management)
- Restoring natural river and shoreline configurations (fluvial management)
- Protecting wetlands for biodiversity and flood management (both pluvial retention and fluvial absorption)
- Integrating productive landscape into the urban fabric (primarily pluvial management)

Pilot cities applied a diverse set of technical measures tailored to their hydrological and urban conditions. Localities had to carefully consider control objectives and performance indicators based on their unique natural characteristics, hydrological attributes, water resource endowments, water quality standards and waterlogging prevention requirements.[4]

Governance and Policy Architecture

The SCP is jointly managed by three ministries - Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development (UHURD), Ministry of Finance (MoF) and Ministry of Water Resources (MWR). The thirty pilot cities represent a range of urban contexts and hydrological attributes, from the megacities of Beijing and Shanghai to smaller urban regional centres. Each pilot received $60-90 million in central government funding for the first three years.[5] Originally focused on retaining 60-90 percent of stormwater on-site, the programme morphed into a sustainability agenda: restoring ecosystems, improving water quality, reducing urban heat islands impacts, and building a “smarter” urban water cycle.[6] This demonstrates how SCP and NbS can deliver multiple co-benefits beyond flood control.

In 2014, UHURD issued Technical Guidelines for SCP Construction, mandating the integration of natural accumulation, infiltration and purification principles that was later supplemented by additional technical directives to aid implementation.[7] These regulatory directives from central government guide the provincial administrations who are tasked with implementing the recommended measures in accordance with their specific local contexts.[8] The Chinese government promotes the SCP concept through a collaborative coordination and evaluation process that involves administrative regulations and normative documents, technical guidelines and local standards. Evaluation reporting is a collective responsibility shared by all stakeholders.[9]

The SCP demonstrates how multiple co-benefits can be delivered beyond flood control, from ecological restoration to urban climate resilience. The Chinese experience also provides valuable guidance for African urban contexts confronting similar challenges.

Insights for Africa

While the Chinese SCP demonstrates the potential of large-scale NbS deployment, transferring and translating its principles to African urban contexts requires rethinking governance, finance and community engagement.

Key adaptations needed:

Climate: Unlike China's monsoon-driven flooding, Sub-Saharan Africa's semi-arid zones need sponge systems that both harvest scarce rainfall and manage intense periodic floods. Interventions must prioritize dual-purpose infrastructure: detention basins that serve as water supply reserves, bioswales that support food production during dry periods and permeable surfaces that maximize groundwater recharge.

Governance and Financing: China's centralized model enabled rapid deployment through ministerial coordination and substantial state funding. African cities' decentralized governance requires different mechanisms: inter-municipal cooperation agreements, community-managed maintenance systems, and financing that blends international climate funds with local revenues. The absence of strong central coordination makes pilot projects and demonstration sites even more critical for building political consensus.

Informality: African sponge cities cannot rely on regulatory enforcement or large-scale property redevelopment. Instead, implementations must integrate informal settlement upgrading, recognize existing community water management practices and build in relation to them, and design modular interventions that can be incrementally expanded.

For African urban contexts, the Chinese experience offers validated technical approaches, proven multi-benefit frameworks, and hard-won lessons. Future African sponge cities must be rooted in local innovation, responsive governance, inclusive planning and financing mechanisms suited to African economic realities.

2. The Netherlands: Room for the River & Adaptive Delta Managment

After severe flooding in the 1990s displaced 250,000 residents, the Netherlands fundamentally rethought its centuries-old flood management strategies.[10] Rather than building higher dikes, the country shifted to a philosophy of "living with water", integrating flood risk management with spatial planning.[11] This new approach produced the flagship Room for the River (RfR) programme (2006-2022). RfR now anchors Dutch water policy, which increasingly focuses not only on flood safety but freshwater supplies, drought, navigability, riverbed erosion and nature objectives.[12]

> Room for the River (RfR) marked a major shift from defensive, engineering-centric flood control to adaptive Nature-based Solutions (NbS).

Multi-benefit Framework

RfR's core strategy was to give rivers more space, instead of further confining them. The programme combined grey and green infrastructure, including lowering floodplains, relocating levees inland, deepening side channels, constructing flood bypasses and creating detention areas, to accommodate rather than resist water.[13]

By linking flood safety to urban development, recreation, ecological restoration and economic opportunity, the RfR transformed flood management from a defensive cost to an investment in liveable, climate-resilient cities. Over 30 regional projects integrated conventional and NbS infrastructure into a single, coherent strategy that unlocked wider political and financial support.[14]

Governance Innovation: "Controlled Trust"

RfR's success rested on a clear yet flexible governance system:[15]

- *National level*: Central government set flood safety targets, allocated budgets and maintained oversight.
- *Regional and local levels*: Provinces, municipalities, and water authorities adapted projects to local conditions.
- *Coordination mechanism*: A National Programme Office (housed within the Dutch public works agency) monitored progress, ensured quality control, and facilitated knowledge-sharing across projects.

This structure distributed implementation authority while maintaining accountability, creating "controlled trust". It allowed local innovation within national parameters, ensured consistency across regions, and fostered collaboration among ministries, NGOs, businesses and citizens.[16] A similar model could suit African federal and decentralised systems, provided that national coordinating body maintains standards, provides technical support, and facilitates cross-project learning.

Adaptive Delta Management (ADM) reshapes decision-making by viewing learning as a continuous process to manage uncertainty, using projects to test assumptions, and integrating flood resilience with urban development.

Adaptive Delta Management (ADM)

Building on RfR's success, the Netherlands launched the Delta Programme in 2010, extending planning horizons to 2050 and 2100.[17] ADM is a management approach designed to handle deep uncertainties: climate change, urbanization patterns, and transforming economic contexts. The ADM reframes decision-making through three key principles:

1. Acknowledge uncertainty: Treat learning as an ongoing goal and as a key tool for managing radical uncertainty.
2. Structure experimentation: Use projects to test assumptions and refine understanding.
3. Link agendas and funding: Integrate flood resilience with urban development, nature restoration and economic planning.

For African cities facing unpredictable climate risks, rapid urbanisation and recourse constraints, the ADM offers a framework for adaptive investment: prioritising measures that yield immediate benefits while keeping future options open.[18]

Water as Leverage: From Dutch Innovation to African Application

The Netherlands has translated its domestic experience into a global initiative: Water as Leverage (WaL). WaL supports climate adaptation in rapidly growing cities.[19] It connects urban climate action with social development, employment, sustainable economic development, and biodiversity recovery, using water as both the connector and opportunity for sustainable urban development.

It advances the following key transitions:

- Unlocking early-stage project finance through blended finance;
- Integrating financial actors to ensure scalability;
- Focusing on enabling environments rather than isolated technical projects.

WaL operates worldwide with current city initiatives in Nakuru (Kenya), Cartagena (Colombia), Chennai (India), Khulna (Bangladesh), Semarang (Indonesia) and the Wadden Sea region.

3. Australia: Water Sensitive Urban Design

Australia offers a contrasting trajectory to the Netherlands and China: rather than a single flagship programme, it pursued a 30-year programme from pilot projects to comprehensive statutory planning frameworks embedding nature-based water management into routine development processes. Emerging in the 1990s, Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) reframed stormwater as a resource rather than a nuisance. Since then, WSUD has shifted from a focus on stormwater management to provide a broader framework for sustainable urban water management.[20]

By integrating stormwater, groundwater, wastewater management, and water supply into urban design, WSUD aimed to minimize environmental degradation while improving aesthetic and recreational appeal. For African cities, Australia's experience underscores the value of institutionalizing water-responsive design rather than treating it as an add-on.

Institutional and Knowledge Innovations

Since the 1990s, Australia developed a series of Cooperative Research Centres (CRCs) that developed the concept of Water Sensitive Cities (WSC). In 2012, a Research Centre on WSC was established that supports good water-sensitive governance, community capital, and equity of essential services, and includes benchmarking of WSC.[21] The WSUD philosophy has spread fast throughout the country with most states developing a vision of what it means for them, and the widespread adoption of WSC has meant water has a central role in shaping the city.[22] In Darwin, the integration of public health concerns into drainage and urban design illustrates how WSUD can extend beyond water management to address broader urban resilience challenges. The Mosquito Engineering Program demonstrates how urban infrastructure can be adapted to reduce public health risks by redesigning and removing stormwater drains and other water-holding structures (such as pit sumps) that serve as mosquito breeding sites.[23]

Insights for Africa

Australia's experience shows that sustained institutional reform, rather than short-term projects, is key to scaling water-sensitive urbanism. Integrating WSUD principles into planning codes, infrastructure design, and urban governance can help African cities build systems that respond to both hydrological and social realities. The Darwin example highlights how urban design can also tackle public health risks, while research-policy collaboration offers a useful model for knowledge exchange and capacity development. African cities can draw on long-term institutional approach by integrating water-responsive design into urban development codes, local plans, and infrastructure standards.

> Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) reframes cities as living catchments, integrating water management with urban design to create adaptive, resilient, and ecologically balanced urban environments.

Pathways Forward

The global precedents examined here confirm that the transforming relationship between cities and water is both achievable and catalytic. China's demonstrate the power of political will and investment at scale; the Netherland's RfR and ADM illustrate the value of governance innovation and long-term institutional coordination; and Australia's WSUD underscores how sustained policy commitment can embed nature-based approaches in everyday planning.

For Africa, the lesson is clear: success lies not in replication but in adaptation. Embedding water-responsive urbanism in local governance systems, inclusive planning, and sustainable financing can catalyse a transition from reactive flood management to proactive urban resilience.

Notes

1. Faith Ka Shun Chan, Wendy Y. Chen, Zilin Wang, Christine Loh, Dimple R. Thadani, Gordon Mitchell, Patrick Y. K. Chau, Monica A. Altamirano, Begonia Arellano Jaimerena, Yunfei Qi, Lei Li, Xinbing Gu and Fang Zhang, 'Meeting Financial Challenges Facing China's Sponge City Program (SCP) – Hong Kong as a Gateway to Green Finance', *Nature-Based Solutions*, 2 (2022), 100019.
2. Ibid.
3. Terreform (ed.), *Letter to the Leaders of China: Kongjian Yu and the Futures of the Chinese City* (New York: Terreform, 2018), p.50-72.
4. Faiza Chikhi, Chuancheng Li, Qunfeng Ji, and Xilin Zhou, 'Advancing Sponge City Implementation in China: The Quest for a Strategy Model', Water Resources Management, 38 (2024), 2251–2577, p. 2274.
5. Chan et al., 'Meeting Financial Challenges Facing China's Sponge City Program (SCP)'.
6. Hui Li, Liuqian Ding, Minglei Ren, Changzhi Li and Hong Wang, 'Sponge City Construction in China: A Survey of the Challenges and Opportunities', Water, 9, no. 9 (2017), p.593.
7. Chikhi et al. 2024, p.2274.
8. Chikhi et al. 2024, p.2258.
9. Chikhi et al. 2024, p.2259.
10. Rijkswaterstaat, 'Thirty Years Later: the 1995 High-Water Evacuation Remains Unforgettable', published 30 January 2025.
11. Margo van den Brink, 'Politics of Flood Risk Management: A Comparative Case Study of Flood Risk Governance in the Netherlands and Flanders', *Land Use Policy*, 38 (2014), 234.
12. *Delta Programme*, 'Rivers', English website, accessed 19 October 2025.
13. Anne Loes Nillesen and Matthijs Kok, 'An Integrated Approach to Flood Risk Management and Spatial Quality for a Netherlands' River Polder Area' Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 20, no. 6 (2015), 950.
14. Stefan Verweij, Tim Busscher and Margo van den Brink, 'Effective Policy Instrument Mixes for Implementing Integrated Flood Risk Management', *Environmental Science and Policy*, 116 (2021), p.205.
15. Nillesen and Kok, p. 950.
16. Verweij, Busscher and van den Brink, 'Effective Policy Instrument Mixes for Implementing Integrated Flood Risk Management', p.205.
17. Chris Zevenbergen, Jeroen Rijke, S. van Herk, and P.J.T.M. Bloemen, 'Adaptive Delta Management: A Comparison between the Netherlands and Bangladesh Delta Program' *International Journal of River Basin Management* 3, no 1 (2015).
18. *Water as Leverage for Urban Water Climate Resilience – WaL*, RVO.nl, last checked 4 April 2025, https://english.rvo.nl/subsidies-financing/water-leverage.
19. Ibid.
20. T. H. F. Wong, 'An Overview of Water Sensitive Urban Design Practices in Australia', *Water Practice and Technology*, 1, no. 1 (2006).
21. John C. Radcliffe, 'Australia's Water Sensitive Urban Design', *Proceedings of the 2018 International Sponge City Conference*, vol. 1 (Xi'an, China, 8–10 September 2018), p.41.
22. Ibid.
23. Allan Warchot, Peter Whelan, John Brown, Tony Vincent, Jane Carter and Nina Kurucz, 'The Removal of Subterranean Stormwater Drain Sumps as Mosquito Breeding Sites in Darwin, Australia', *Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease*, 5, no. 1 (2020), 9.

Acknowledgements

This publication is made possible through the support of the **Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency** (SIDA). It contributes to UNEP's mission of enhancing urban resilience through the promotion of "Sponge City" measures and Nature-based Solutions (NbS) across African secondary cities.

UN environment programme